

Gauge model of unparticles

G.A. Kozlov

Bogolyubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Joliot Curie st., 6, Dubna, Moscow region, 141980 Russia

Abstract

Modern theoretical models strongly suggest that new phenomena await discovery above the energy scale of the Standard Model (SM) of particle interactions. In this paper we argue that correct description of particle physics in the TeV energy scale needs to account for degrees of freedom obeying the conformal (or scale) invariance. In this respect, the existing of Georgi's unparticles is strongly argued. We present the gauge model of scalar unparticles. The ground state of scalar unparticles in the continuum is restricted by the vacuum expectation value of the SM Higgs boson and the scale dimension d . In the framework of Abelian gauge field theories we develop a model in four-dimensional space-time in which the scalar unHiggs is a dipole field. The quantization is performed within the canonical formalism. Based on general principles of quantum field theory the new features of unHiggs propagators are carried out for the first time.

PACS 11.10.Cd, 11.15.Ex

1 Introduction

The unparticle phenomenon [1] and several points concerning unparticle phenomenology have been widely overlooked in the literature (see, e.g., the recent papers in [2]). However, to the author's opinion, a set of matter things has not been settled in a way suitable to more better understanding of the subject. This paper is an attempt to clarify the unparticle nature in gauge theories, e.g., within the invariance under gauge transformation of the second kind. Since unparticles can couple as a Standard Model (SM)-like singlet or even doublet to the SM particles, they must carry the SM - like charges. A gauge model of unparticles must also contain the criteria relevant to SM pattern, however to keeping in mind non-canonical scaling dimension of the unparticle.

In general, a conformal theory, is one where there is an exact scale invariance (apart from more technical aspects): conformal invariance implies scale invariance, theory looks the same on all scales. SM is not conformal even as a

classical field theory - the mass of the Higgs boson breaks conformal symmetry. One of the basic features of conformal theory is there are no masses. One can really deal with the theory so that predictions are possible in case this theory is renormalizable. The Higgs field is necessary to guarantee the renormalizability. On the other hand, at high energies, the Higgs field can weakly interact with a hidden scale-invariant sector realized through the unparticles staff which would be relevant since we do hope to observe the Higgs particle in experiment. The Higgs field can serve as a portal to a hidden sector of the SM matter. Such interactions can be systematically described in the framework of the field theory with the effective Lagrangian density (LD).

We suppose the effective field theory (EFT) containing a hidden sector lying beyond the SM. We model this hidden sector using an arbitrary field operator $O(M)$ on the running mass scale M in interaction with a heavy state that may occur in the ultraviolet (UV) region at high scale $\Lambda \gg \Lambda_{SM}$, where $\Lambda_{SM} \sim O(v)$ with $v \simeq 246$ GeV being the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the SM. The simplest form of the relevant LD is

$$L_{EFT}(M) = c(M, \Lambda) O(M) = \frac{c_0(M)}{\Lambda^{d-4}} O(M), \quad (1)$$

where d is the scaling dimension of $O(M)$ and the effect of heavy state is encoded in $c(M, \Lambda)$. Physically interesting goal is to develop the theory near its infra-red (IR) fixed point $M_{IR} \simeq \Lambda_{SM}$.

Somewhat surprisingly it is possible to have a model that has basically the singlet-doublet mixing. One can start with an interaction of the form $\sim O_U H H^+ + O_U^2 H H^+$, where O_U is the new singlet-like unparticle field, or even the unHiggs staff (a part of the approximate conformal field theory) with $1 < d < 2$, and H stands for the SM Higgs field with $ReH = (h + v)/\sqrt{2}$. Both H and O_U fields receive their vacuum expectation values and one ends up with the Higgs particle and unHiggs staff, respectively.

When the staff O_U is added to the Higgs scalar, two effects can appear: the mixing and the invisible decay. In case that there is an unbroken symmetry in the singlet sector (of unparticles) the singlets are stable and weakly interacting.

We find that the behaviour of the unHiggs field is compatible with that of a dipole field. In author's opinion this fact looks both attractive and instructive. In this spirit the model is looked as a first attempt to start with an effective Lagrangian at energies E covering the region between the IR scale and the UV scale, $\tilde{\Lambda}_U < E < \Lambda_U$. To our knowledge, independently of the validity of the conjecture of references (see, e.g., the references in [2]), a discussion concerning the unparticle states of dipole field models with the dimension d is lacking in current literature. In this paper we give a positive contribution to this direction by making use the standard Lagrangian approach to quantum

field theory, in particular, the canonical formalism and symmetry properties.

What can one say about the collider signals of unparticles. There are, at least, two possibilities:

- virtual unparticle exchanges and
- real production of unparticles, e.g., in gluon-gluon fusion, $gg \rightarrow gU$.

If U -unparticle does not decay one gets monojets. More generally, we would get missing energy signals. On the other hand, unparticle can decay to the SM particles. This will severely modify the set of signals considered above. We do not get missing energy signals in general.

Since unparticles are light enough (formally, due to scale invariance the spectrum of them is continuous) the Higgs boson in wide mass region can decay into these invisible unparticles, thereby leaving no obvious signal at the LHC or ILC and muon collider. We have no a task to investigate this issue, and the references can be found elsewhere.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We will review the inclusion of interactions $\sim O_U H H^+ + O_U^2 H H^+$ for an unparticle field in the next section. In section 3 we will examine the gauge unHiggs model where this unparticle is a dipole field. The quantization of the "unHiggs" field is given in the section 4. In section 5 we give the propagator of the unHiggs field. Our conclusion is presented in the last section.

2 An extended Higgs - unparticle tower model

We start with the effective LD

$$L = L_1 + L_2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$L_1 = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \alpha^2 D_\mu O_U \bar{D}^\mu O_U^* - \lambda^2 |O_U|^4 + \mu_0^2 |O_U|^2, \quad (3)$$

$$L_2 = a |H|^2 O_U + b |H|^2 |O_U|^2. \quad (4)$$

In formulae (3) and (4), $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ with the gauge field A_μ ; $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$, $\bar{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$; α , λ , μ_0 , a and b are d -dependent in mass units: $1-d$, $2(1-d)$, $2-d$, $2-d$ and $2(1-d)$, respectively.

The scaling properties of hidden sector depend on the scaling properties of couplings. There are three characteristic scales Λ at high energies in the theory.

1. M_U scale: hidden sector (unparticles) is coupled to SM through non-renormalizable couplings at M_U . This leads to the interaction form $M_U^{-k} O_{SM} O_{BZ}$, where O_{SM} is the SM operator, while O_{BZ} stands for Banks-Zaks theory [3]

operator (massless fermions case). Here, UV sector coupled to SM through the exchange of messenger fields with large mass scale M_U .

2. Λ_U scale: assume that unparticle sector becomes conformal at $\Lambda_U < M_U$, and couplings to SM preserve conformality in IR region that gives the operator form $M_U^{-k} O_{SM} O_{BZ} \rightarrow \text{const} \Lambda^{-d-n+4} O_{SM}^n O_U$. Here, the messenger sector decouples resulting in contact interaction between SM and an unparticle sector. The latter flows into a non-perturbative IR fixed point hence exhibiting scale invariance.

3. Electroweak symmetry breaking leads to conformal symmetry breaking through the super-renormalizable operator $a|H|^2 O_U$ at the third scale $\tilde{\Lambda}_U = (a v^2)^{1/(4-d)} < \Lambda_U$. Thus when the Higgs field accepts its vacuum expectation value (v.e.v.) the operator $a|H|^2 O_U$ brings a scale (occurrence of a tadpole term in L_2). A sector of unparticle staff leaves the conformal-fixed point and a theory becomes nonconformal-invariant at the scale $\tilde{\Lambda}_U$ below which the unparticle staff transforms into a standard particle sector.

One can conclude that unparticle physics is only possible in the conformal window. However, the width of this window depends on d , $\tilde{\Lambda}_U$, Λ_U , M .

Actually, the LD (3) is obtained by switching on a gauge field (A_μ) interaction in the pure O_U -field LD

$$L_0 = \alpha^2 \partial_\mu O_U \partial^\mu O_U - \lambda^2 |O_U|^4 + \mu_0^2 |O_U|^2. \quad (5)$$

In terms of the energy scale $E \sim \Lambda$ we define $\alpha = \alpha(\Lambda)$ and $\alpha(\Lambda) O_U(x) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ at $\Lambda < \tilde{\Lambda}_U$, where $\phi(x)$ is the SM scalar field. A crucial role is the weak coupling of the unparticle fields to the SM ones, in particular to Higgs boson H . Ignoring these couplings will lead to inability to "observe" unparticle staff. Although the precise investigation of gauge higgsless LD (3) defines the dipole behaviour of the unHiggs field (see sections 3, 4 and 5).

The nature of unparticle staff is unknown and one can only use one of the schemes relevant to the concrete task. To find the nontrivial result, in particular, to get the v.e.v. of unparticle staff, one should follow a couple of steps:

1. to establish the structure of O_U operator: we choose the scheme within the infinite tower of N - scalars ϕ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$) [4]

$$O_U \rightarrow O = \sum_{k=1}^{N=\infty} f_k \phi_k, \quad (6)$$

where the scalar fields ϕ_k are characterized by the mass squared $m_k^2 = k \Delta^2$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$;

2. the LD (2) is presented in the form:

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \alpha^2 D_\mu O \bar{D}^\mu O^* - V(O, H), \quad (7)$$

where the potential $V(O, H)$ is given in the deconstructed conventional form

$$V(O, H) \rightarrow V(\phi_k, H) = \frac{1}{4} \xi \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N m_k^2 \phi_k^2 - a |H|^2 \sum_{k=1}^N f_k \phi_k - b' |H|^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2 \quad (8)$$

with new coefficients ξ and b' in zero mass units. Obviously, the LD (7) has the same pattern as those in (2) within the deconstructed convention. The minimization equation for ϕ_k -fields looks like at $\langle \phi_k \rangle = \sigma_k$ and $\langle H \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$

$$\sigma_k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-a v^2 f_k}{m_k^2 - (\xi \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^2 - b' v^2)}. \quad (9)$$

It is evidently from (9) that the interaction term $a |H|^2 O_U$ in (4) with $a \neq 0$ ensures $\sigma_k \neq 0$.

The free propagator of the field O_U is

$$D(p^2, d) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dm^2}{2\pi} \frac{\rho_0(m^2, d)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (10)$$

where in accordance with the scale invariance the spectral density $\rho_0(m^2, d)$ is

$$\rho_0(m^2, d) = A_d (m^2)^{d-2}. \quad (11)$$

The A_d is given by the condition $\rho_0(m^2, d=1) = m^{-2}$ and has the form [1]

$$A_d = \frac{16 \pi^{5/2}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \frac{\Gamma(d+1/2)}{\Gamma(d-1) \Gamma(2d)}. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, $\rho_0(m^2)$ is expressed in the form:

$$\rho_0(m^2) = 2\pi \sum_{\omega} \delta(m^2 - m_{\omega}^2) |\langle 0 | O(0) | \omega \rangle|^2, \quad (13)$$

where the sum is over all relativistic states $|\omega\rangle$ normalized by relativistic manner. From (11) and (13) one finds $(|\langle 0 | O(0) | \omega_k \rangle|^2 = f_k^2)$:

$$f_k^2 = \frac{A_d}{2\pi} (m_k^2)^{d-2} \Delta^2. \quad (14)$$

In the continuum

$$\langle O_U \rangle = \int_0^\infty \bar{\sigma}(s) \bar{f}(s) ds = \frac{v^2}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{f}^2(s)}{z-s} ds = \frac{A_d}{4\pi} a v^2 (-1)^{d-1} z^{d-2} \Gamma(d-1) \Gamma(2-d), \quad (15)$$

where $z = b' v^2 - \xi \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^2$ is the infra-red regularized (IRR) mass square (mass gap), induced by interaction $|H|^2 O_U$ in the unparticle continuum. This mass vanishes when the ratio between v and σ is given by ξ/b' .

We find that the v.e.v. of the O - field is defined only for nonzero v^2 . In case of weak interaction ($a \rightarrow 0$) between the O - field and the Higgs-field, $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. As the result, $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ only if $v^2 \neq 0$ so that the IRR - mass is provided by the electro-weak symmetry breaking, and this mass does cutoff the infra-red divergence of the O - field for b' and ξ - couplings obeying the condition

$$\frac{b'}{\xi} > \frac{\sigma^2}{v^2} \quad (16)$$

which leads to new understanding how to avoid the infra-red trouble.

3 The gauge "unHiggs" model

The gauge "unHiggs" model is naturally obtained itself by considering the LD (3) which is invariant under the transformations

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \vartheta(x), \quad (17)$$

$$O_U(x) \rightarrow \exp[-i e \vartheta(x)] O_U(x), \quad (18)$$

where $\vartheta(x)$ is a real function obeying the equation $\nabla^2 \vartheta(x) = 0$ ($\nabla \equiv \partial/\partial x_\mu$).

The generating current

$$K_\mu(x; \Lambda) = i \alpha^2(\Lambda) [O_U^*(x) D_\mu O_U(x) - O_U(x) \bar{D}_\mu O_U^*(x)] \quad (19)$$

enters in the equation of motion in the standard form

$$K_\mu(x) = \partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu}(x) = \nabla^2 A_\mu(x) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu(x). \quad (20)$$

Because of the physical reason and in order to be more close to the standard field theory one can write the current (19) in terms of the field operators occurred in the limiting procedure. For simplicity let us introduce the scalar field $\Phi(x; \nu)$ where x is a Minkowski coordinate while the fifth coordinate ν is thought as a continuous index. The operator $O_U(x)$ can then be defined in terms of the field $\Phi(x; \nu)$ as follows:

$$O_U(x) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \nu^{-d} \Phi(x; \nu) \quad (21)$$

and hence

$$K_\mu(x; \Lambda) = i \alpha^2(\Lambda) \lim_{\nu, \nu' \rightarrow 0} \nu^{-d} (\nu')^{-d} [\Phi^*(x; \nu) D_\mu \Phi(x; \nu') - \Phi(x; \nu') \bar{D}_\mu \Phi^*(x; \nu)]. \quad (22)$$

The SM operators couple to the field $\Phi(x; \nu)$ only on the boundary $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Equation (22) can not be tenable and satisfactory obey the Equation (20) at $\Lambda > \tilde{\Lambda}_U$ where, again, $\tilde{\Lambda}_U$ corresponds to the scale below which the SM world interactions occurred. The unparticle phase has no conventional particle description. The only remarkable characteristic of unparticles is that the phase space in decay processes to unparticle staff is the same as the phase space for decay to d massless particles. To avoid this dissatisfaction we introduce the hidden parameter β_U in the kinetic term for $A_\mu(x)$ field in (3) leading to modified Equation (20)

$$K_\mu(x; \Lambda) = \nabla^2 A_\mu(x) - \beta_U \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu(x), \quad \Lambda > \tilde{\Lambda}_U, \quad \beta_U \neq 1. \quad (23)$$

It is closely related to a model introduced by Ferrari [6] in a study of the Higgs phenomenon, from which the present model is distinguished by coupling to unHiggs staff. Obviously, $\beta_U = 1$ if $\Lambda < \tilde{\Lambda}_U$. The stabilization mechanism (23) is also valid if one uses the infinite tower scheme for $O_U(x)$ operator (6). It is closely

To find a solution of the "unHiggs" model we introduce the real fields ψ and χ in

$$O_U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma + \psi + i\chi), \quad O_U^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma + \psi - i\chi), \quad (24)$$

where $(\Omega, \chi\Omega) = 0$, $(\Omega, (\sigma + \psi)\Omega) = \sigma \neq 0$ and Ω is the vacuum. In terms of new real fields ψ and χ the Lagrangian density (3) of the order $e\sigma$ and $\lambda\sigma$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_1 = & -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_U \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu - \frac{1}{2}m^2 A_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2[(\partial_\mu \chi)^2 + (\partial_\mu \psi)^2] \\ & + m\alpha A^\mu \partial_\mu \chi - \frac{1}{2}(3\lambda^2 \sigma^2 - \mu_0^2)\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 \sigma^2 - \mu_0^2)\chi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $m = e\alpha\sigma$. The rest part, $\tilde{L}_2$, of the LD (3) contains the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_2 = & e\alpha m A_\mu^2 \psi + e\alpha^2 A^\mu (\psi \partial_\mu \chi - \chi \partial_\mu \psi) + \frac{1}{2}e^2 \alpha^2 A_\mu^2 (\psi^2 + \chi^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 (\psi^2 + \chi^2)^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma \psi (\psi^2 + \chi^2) + \sigma(\mu_0^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma^2) \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

At the lowest order the condition $(\Omega, \psi\Omega) = 0$ imposes $\mu_0^2 = \lambda^2 \sigma^2$.

Our aim is the canonical quantization of (25). The equations of motion are

$$(\alpha^2 \nabla^2 + \mu^2)\psi = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\alpha \nabla^2 \chi + m \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\nabla^2 A_\mu - \beta_U \partial_\mu \partial^\nu A_\nu + m^2 A_\mu + \alpha m \partial_\mu \chi = 0, \quad (29)$$

where $\mu^2 = 2\lambda^2\sigma^2$. The pattern related to the field ψ presents no problems and will not be considered. By taking the divergence of equation (29) and using the equation (28), one gets

$$\nabla^2 \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \quad (30)$$

from which the dipole-type equation, that resembles the Froissart model [5], can be easily obtained

$$\lim_{\kappa^2 \rightarrow 0} (\nabla^2 + \kappa^2)^2 \chi = 0. \quad (31)$$

This means that the scale-invariant particle stuff is almost massless. The "spectrum" of the model consists of unparticle stuff and massive bosons. The solution of the Eq. (29) is found in the form

$$A_\mu(x) = B_\mu(x) - \frac{\alpha}{m} \partial_\mu \left[1 - \frac{1 - \beta_U}{m^2} \nabla^2 \right] \chi(x), \quad (32)$$

where the field B_μ obeys $(\nabla^2 + m^2)B_\mu = 0$, $\partial_\mu B^\mu = 0$ and $[B_\mu(x), \chi(y)] = 0$. The massive vector field $B_\mu(x)$ is derived from the vector potential $A_\mu(x)$ shifted by terms containing the divergences of unHiggs field at finite σ . The unparticle sector no longer remains scale-invariant because of electroweak symmetry breaking.

We find that under the gauge transformations (17), (18) the generating current is defined by the vector field $B_\mu(x)$ with the mass $m = e\alpha\sigma$ and the unHiggs field $\chi(x)$

$$K_\mu(x) = -m^2 \left[B_\mu(x) + \frac{1 - \beta_U}{m^3} \alpha \partial_\mu \nabla^2 \chi(x) \right], \quad (33)$$

where σ is the solution of eq. (15).

To find the propagator of $\chi(x)$ - field we use the two-point Wightman functions (TPWF) formalism of a scalar field satisfying the dipole-type equation.

4 Quantization of the "unHiggs" field

The field $\chi(x)$ obeying the equation $(\nabla^2)^2 \chi(x) = 0$ in four-dimension space-time can be easily obtained as a result of quantization of the system of two scalar neutral fields $\chi(x)$ and $\zeta(x)$ in the quadratic LD [7]

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_\mu \chi(x) \partial^\mu \zeta(x) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2(x). \quad (34)$$

The equations of motion

$$\nabla^2 \chi(x) = \zeta(x) \quad (35)$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \zeta(x) = 0 \quad (36)$$

allow to exclude the field $\zeta(x)$ and get the dipole equation (31) in the limit $\kappa = 0$. The only equal-time nontrivial canonical commutation relation (CCR)

$$[\partial_0 \zeta(x), \chi(y)]_{x^0=y^0} = -i \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = [\partial_0 \chi(x), \zeta(y)]_{x^0=y^0} \quad (37)$$

together with equations of motion (35), (36) leads to CCR for the unHiggs field $\chi(x)$

$$[\chi(x), \chi(y)] = -i b_d E(x - y), \quad (38)$$

where

$$E(x) = i \int 2\pi \text{sign}(p^0) \delta'(p^2) e^{-ipx} \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \text{sign}(x^0) \theta(x^2). \quad (39)$$

Here, b_d (in mass dimension $2d$) ensures the correct mass dimension of (38); $\text{sign}(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ are the sign and the step functions, respectively.

The next step is to decompose $E(x)$ into its negative ($E^-(x)$) - and positive ($E^+(x) = [E^-(x)]^* = -E^-(-x)$) -frequency parts, each of which is analytic in the past and future tubes: $E(x) = E^-(x) + E^+(x)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E^-(x) &= i \int 2\pi \theta(p^0) \delta'(p^2) e^{-ipx} \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} = -\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{l^2}{-x^2 + i\epsilon x^0} \\ &= -\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} [\ln |\kappa^2 x^2| + i\pi \text{sign}(x^0) \theta(x^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Here, l is an arbitrary length scale with dimension minus one in mass units and introduced in the logarithmic function $\ln[-(x^0 - i\epsilon)^2 + \vec{x}^2]$ for dimensional reason and $\kappa \sim l^{-1}$ being the mass parameter of the IR regularization. The origin of l becomes more transparent in momentum space. Note that the distribution $\theta(p^0) \delta'(p^2)$ in (40) is well-defined only with the basic functions $u(p)$ having the properties: $u(p) = 0$ at $p = 0$.

The unHiggs field $\chi(x)$ is similarly decomposed into negative (annihilation, $\chi^-(x)$) - and positive (creation, $\chi^+(x) = [\chi^-(x)]^+$) - frequency parts $\chi(x) = \chi^-(x) + \chi^+(x)$ with commutators

$$[\chi^-(x), \chi^+(y)] = -i b_d E^-(x - y), \quad (41)$$

$$[\chi^-(x), \chi^-(y)] = [\chi^+(x), \chi^+(y)] = 0. \quad (42)$$

It is assumed that the vacuum $\Omega = |0\rangle$ satisfies $\chi^-(x)\Omega = 0$ where $\langle\Omega, \Omega\rangle = 1$.

We introduce the TPWF

$$\omega(x-y) = \langle 0 | \chi(x) \chi(y) | 0 \rangle \quad (43)$$

as the distribution in the Schwartz space $S'(\mathbb{R}^4)$ of the moderate distribution on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Taking into account the equation (31) one has

$$(\nabla^2)^2 \omega(x) = 0. \quad (44)$$

Because of the Lorentz invariance requirement the general solution of eq. (44) is given in the form

$$\omega(x) = b_1 E^-(x) + b_2 \nabla^2 E^-(x) + const, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\nabla^2 E^-(x) = \frac{-i}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{x^2 - i\epsilon x^0}, \quad (\nabla^2)^2 E^-(x) = 0, \quad (46)$$

b_1 and b_2 are coefficients. Therefore

$$[\chi(x), \chi(0)] = 2\pi i \text{sign}(x^0) [b_1 \theta(x^2) + b_2 \delta(x^2)]. \quad (47)$$

5 Propagator of the "unHiggs" field

The propagator of $\chi(x)$ is defined as the time-ordered TPWF

$$W(x) = \langle 0 | T \chi(x) \chi(0) | 0 \rangle = \theta(x^0) \omega(x) + \theta(-x^0) \omega(-x) = b_1 \ln \frac{l^2}{-x^2 + i\epsilon} + b_2 \left[\frac{1}{x^2} + i\pi \delta(x^2) \right] + const. \quad (48)$$

For long range forces mediated by unparticles one has for $W(x)$:

$$W(x) \simeq b \ln \frac{l^2}{-x^2 + i\epsilon} + const. \quad (49)$$

The coefficient b in (49) can be fixed using the CCR $[\alpha\chi(x), \pi(\chi(0))]_{x^0=0} = i\delta^3(\vec{x})$ with $\pi(\chi(x)) = \alpha\partial_0\chi(x) + m A_0(x)$ being the conjugate momentum of $\chi(x)$. Taking into account the following properties of the generalized functions

$$\nabla^2 \text{sign}(x^0) \theta(x^2) = 4 \text{sign}(x^0) \delta(x^2), \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{sign}(x^0) \delta(x^2) \right]_{x^0=0} = \delta^3(\vec{x}), \quad (51)$$

we get the coefficient b in the form:

$$b = \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{(4\pi)^2 (1 - \beta_U)}. \quad (52)$$

Finally, we have

$$W(x) \simeq \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{(1 - \beta_U)} \bar{W}(x) + \text{const} = \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{(1 - \beta_U)} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{l^2}{-x^2 + i\epsilon} + \text{const}, \quad (53)$$

where $\bar{W}(x)$ obeys the equation

$$(\nabla^2)^2 \bar{W}(x) = -i\delta^4(x). \quad (54)$$

Actually, at energy $E < \tilde{\Lambda}_U$ parameter β_U is equal to one, while $\sigma = 0$ because the interaction between the Higgs boson and unparticle disappears ($a = 0$) in the continuum limit (see formulas (4) and (15)). The presence of the parameter l in TPWF (45) (with $E^-(x)$ defined in (40)) breaks its covariance under dilatation transformations $x \rightarrow \varpi x$ within which $\omega(x) \rightarrow \omega(\varpi x) = \omega(x) - (8\pi^2)^{-1} \ln \varpi$ for any $\varpi > 0$. This implies spontaneously symmetry breaking of the dilatation invariance. This is one of the reasons for the special role of the function $E^-(x)$ considered above.

Let us consider the v.e.v. $\langle 0 | [K_\mu(x), \chi(0)] | 0 \rangle$ in the framework of the Goldstone theory. The equal-time commutator $[K_0(x), \chi(0)]$ integrated over $\vec{x}$ -space gives the v.e.v. of the unHiggs

$$\sigma = \frac{i}{e} \int d^3x [K_0(x), \chi(0)]_{|_{x^0=0}} \quad (55)$$

and we find that

$$i \langle 0 | [K_\mu(x), \chi(0)] | 0 \rangle = \frac{e\sigma}{2\pi} \partial_\mu [\text{sign}(x^0) \delta(x^2)]. \quad (56)$$

The Fourier transformation of the r.h.s. of (56) ensures the term proportional to $p_\mu \text{sign}(p^0) \delta(p^2)$ where $\delta(p^2)$ is a consequence of the Goldstone theorem.

The propagator $\tilde{W}(p)$ of the unHiggs field is introduced according to

$$W(x) = \int d_4p e^{-ipx} \tilde{W}(p). \quad (57)$$

Because $W(x)$ satisfies $(\nabla^2)^2 i W(x) = \varepsilon \delta^4(x)$, where $\varepsilon = (e\sigma)^2 (1 - \beta_U)^{-1}$ and $\nabla^2 W(x) = (\varepsilon/4\pi^2)(-x^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}$, the propagator $\tilde{W}(p)$ is a solution of the equation

$$p^2 \tilde{W}(p) = \frac{i\varepsilon}{-p^2 - i\epsilon} \quad (58)$$

and furthermore one has

$$i \tilde{W}(p) = \frac{\varepsilon}{(-p^2 - i\epsilon)^2} \quad (59)$$

in the sence of deneralized functions (distributions), when the l.h.s. of (58) and (59) act on functions which are regular at $p = 0$.

Formally, the latter expression leads to IR divergence at small p^2 . However, one can image $W(x)$ in terms of generalized functions, and its Fourier transform is guaranteed to exist. Following [8] we introduce

$$\tilde{W}(p) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} H(p), \quad (60)$$

where

$$H(p) = \frac{\varepsilon}{16\pi^2} \int d^4x e^{ipx} \frac{\ln\left(\frac{l^2}{-x^2 + i\epsilon}\right)}{-x^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (61)$$

The desired propagator $\tilde{W}(p)$ is given either in the form

$$\tilde{W}(p) = -\frac{1}{2} i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} \left[\frac{p^\mu \ln(-\varsigma^2 p^2 - i\epsilon)}{(-p^2 - i\epsilon)^2} \right] \quad (62)$$

or

$$\tilde{W}(p) = -\frac{1}{4} i\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left\{ \frac{\ln[e^{2\gamma}(-p^2 l^2 - i\epsilon)/4]}{-p^2 - i\epsilon} \right\}, \quad (63)$$

where $\varsigma = e^{\gamma-1/2} l/2$ is the regularized length, $\gamma = -\Gamma'(1) \simeq 0.577$.

To avoid the IR divergence the propagator $\tilde{W}(p)$ (62) has to be considered as the generalized function (distribution) with the basic (test) function $f(p)$

$$\int d_4p \tilde{W}(p) f(p) = \frac{1}{2} i\varepsilon \int d_4p \frac{\ln(-\varsigma^2 p^2 - i\epsilon)}{(-p^2 - i\epsilon)^2} p^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} f(p). \quad (64)$$

The extra power of p_μ -momentum in (64) removes the divergence at small p . On the other hand, in order to find the Fourier transformation of $W(x)$ in (53) let us consider the equation

$$\lim_{\lambda^2 \rightarrow 0} \int d_4p e^{-ipx} \frac{1}{(p^2 - \lambda^2 + i\epsilon)^2} = \frac{i}{8\pi^2} K_0\left(\lambda\sqrt{-x^2 + i\epsilon}\right). \quad (65)$$

Here, $K_0(z)$ is the Bessel function; λ is the parameter of representation and not the analogue of the infra-red mass. The naive use of (45) leads to appearance of $\ln \lambda$ divergence, because at small z the following behaviour of $K_0(z)$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} K_0(z) \simeq \ln(2/z) - \gamma + O(z^2, z^2 \ln z) \quad (66)$$

is correct. To avoid the $\ln \lambda$ divergence one can use the following distribution for the Fourier transformation of $W(x)$

$$\lim_{\lambda^2 \rightarrow 0} \int d_4 p e^{-i p x} \left[\frac{1}{(p^2 - \lambda^2 + i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\lambda^2}{\kappa^2} \delta^4(p) \right] \quad (67)$$

with the mass parameter $\kappa \sim l^{-1}$. The *const* term in eq. (53) can be fixed in such a way as to cancel the constant terms occurred due to expansion (66). Thus the propagator for the unHiggs field $\chi(x)$ becomes in momentum p -space

$$\tilde{W}(p) = \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{(1 - \beta_U)} \lim_{\lambda^2 \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{i(p^2 - \lambda^2 + i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\lambda^2}{\kappa^2} \delta^4(p) \right]. \quad (68)$$

The distribution $\lim_{\lambda^2 \rightarrow 0} [1/(p^2 - \lambda^2 + i\epsilon)^2]$ is defined only on a particular subspace of the space of complex Schwartz test functions on $\mathbb{R}^4$, namely on those (test) functions $f(p)$ such that $f(0) = 0$. The set of its extensions onto the whole space is a one-parameter set of functionals parameterized by κ . It means that the distribution $(p^2 + i\epsilon)^{-2}$ is defined only on functions vanishing at $p = 0$. However, the set of Lorentz-invariant, causal extensions of this distribution to those not vanishing at $p = 0$ constitute a new parameter (κ) family given by (67).

A consequence of $\tilde{W}(p)$ is that the lowest order energy (potential) of a "charge" in the static limit has the following Fourier transform ($|\vec{x}| \equiv r$)

$$E(r; \sigma) = i \int d_3 \vec{p} e^{i \vec{p} \vec{x}} \tilde{W}(p^0 = 0, \vec{p}; \sigma) = \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{8\pi(1 - \beta_U)} r \left[\frac{9}{2} \ln 2 - 2 + 3 \ln(\kappa r) \right] \quad (69)$$

by making use the representation (63) in the static limit

$$i \tilde{W}(p^0 = 0, \vec{p}; \sigma) = \frac{(e\sigma)^2}{2(1 - \beta_U)} \frac{1}{(\vec{p}^2 - i\epsilon)^2} \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{4\vec{p}^2}{\vec{p}^2 - i\epsilon} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\vec{p}^2 l^2 - i\epsilon}{4} e^{2\gamma} \right) + \frac{6\vec{p}^2}{\vec{p}^2 - i\epsilon} - 1 \right]. \quad (70)$$

The energy (69) grows as r at large distances. To get the result (69) we used the formula [9] ($p \equiv |\vec{p}|$)

$$\int d^3p e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}} p^n \ln^m p = r^{-(n+3)} \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(-1)^i \Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m-i+1)\Gamma(i+1)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right)^{m-i} C_n \ln^i r, \quad (71)$$

where

$$C_n = 2^{n+3} \pi^{3/2} \frac{\Gamma[(n+3)/2]}{\Gamma(-n/2)}, \quad n \neq -3, -5, \dots \quad (72)$$

6 Conclusion

We have presented the gauge model of scalar unparticles. From the phenomenological point of view the most physical motivated way to explore the unparticle sector is the interaction with the Higgs field operator $|H|^2$. We have clarified how the scale-invariant self-coupling ξ generates the mass squared $m_{IRR}^2 = b' v^2 - \xi \sigma^2$ for unparticles that acts as an infra-red cutoff to give a nonzero $\sigma = \langle O \rangle$.

Because we have the exact solution for the unparticle TPWF, one can see precisely how the system makes the transition from high energy physics of free particles to low energy unparticle physics.

The scalar unHiggs model has been studied at the lowest order of perturbative series, using the canonical quantization. The new dipole-type behaviour of the propagator for the unHiggs field has been found (ghost-like field). Moreover the vector field becomes massive. In particular, the unHiggs field $\chi(x)$ united with $A_\mu(x)$ - field both form the field $B_\mu(x)$ in such a way that the latter obey the equation of motion for massive vector field with the mass $m = \alpha e \sigma$. The Goldstone theorem is verified as the statement of the vacuum expectation value of the commutator of generating current $K_\mu(x)$ and of (appropriate) local field - the unHiggs field $\chi(x)$.

The following observations concerning the unHiggs propagator are in order:

1. $\tilde{W}(p)$ is valid in the energy region $\tilde{\Lambda}_U < E < \Lambda_U$;
2. the form (68) is uniquely determined by the canonical formalism;
3. it clearly does not violate causality because of (39).

Formula (69) explicitly shows how large logarithms of dynamical unHiggs propagator origin are suppressed at short distances. The behaviour of (69) is the most general and compelling argument in favor of the (free) energy of unHiggs field.

7 Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Laboratories which I visited during the course of this work: CERN and Fermilab. I am grateful for stimulating conversations with colleagues, particularly N. Krasnikov.

References

- [1] H. Georgi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 221601; Phys. Lett. **B650** (2007) 275.
- [2] H. Goldberg and P. Nath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 031803; M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. **B660** (2008) 592; T. Kikuchi and N. Okada, Phys. Lett. **B661** (2008) 360; B. Grinstein, K. Intriligator and I. Z. Rothstein, Phys. Lett. **B662** (2008) 367; K. Cheung et al., Phys. Lett. **B662** (2008) 436; P. Gaete, E. Spalluci, Phys. Lett. **B668** (2008) 336; F. Sannino, R. Zwicky, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 015016; A. Delgado et al., Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 055011.
- [3] T. Banks and A. Zaks, Nucl. Phys. **B196** (1982) 189.
- [4] M.A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 035008; N.V. Krasnikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A22** (2007) 5117.
- [5] M. Froissart, Nuovo Cim. Suppl. **14** (1959) 197.
- [6] R. Ferrari, IL Nuovo Cim. **19A** (1974) 204.
- [7] N.N. Bogolyubov et al. "General Principles of Quantum Field Theory", Moscow, "Nauka", 1987.
- [8] D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. **D17** (1978) 457.
- [9] E. d'Emilio, M. Mintchev, A Gauge model with perturbative confinement in four dimensions, Report IFUP (1979), Pisa (unpublished); E. d'Emilio and M. Mintchev, Phys. Lett. **B89** (1980) 207.